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Introduction

- Relationship between education and labour market outcomes is the dominant theme in transitions research (Muller and Shavit, 1998; Kerckhoff 2000; Rosenbaum and Jones, 2000; Sorokin 1927).

- But explanations have often been static or assumed a unidirectional trend (as observed by Collins 2000; Ringer 1991).

- Paper seeks to explore the dynamics of credentialism using Ireland as a case study.

- Focus on secondary education leavers.
Conceptual framework

Explanations of ET-LM relationship:

1. Human capital theory: focus on education as signal of (actual) productivity (Becker 1964; Mincer 1958, 1989; Schultz 1962).
2. Institutional theorists (variants Meyer and Rowan 1977) – job queue with less educated ‘falling behind’

But what factors would lead to a change in this relationship?
Conceptual framework (2)

- Accounts of change often focus on ‘credential/qualification inflation’
- Many studies focus on the US context (for exceptions see Bills 2004 Germany; van der Werfhorst 2005 the Netherlands; Shavit and Kraus 1990 Israel).
- Expansion of educational participation has not been matched by LM upgrading – less educated ‘squeezed out’
- But is change always linear?
- Some work (Raffe; Gangl) suggests that less educated are more vulnerable in recessions,
- Dynamics of ET-LM relationship may therefore be more complex: short-term v. longer term trends, impact of wider economic conditions and policy.
Why is Ireland interesting?

- Strong influence of education on a range of post-school outcomes and longer term impact on adult life-chances
- Rapid growth in participation in tertiary education
- Rapid economic expansion during the ‘Celtic Tiger’ years
- How have these changes influenced ET-LM link?
Adult and youth unemployment rates: ‘Celtic Tiger’ years
Research questions

- Did the impact of education on LM entry/unemployment/occupational status change over time?
- Do the different dimensions of education (level v. grades) operate in the same way?
Data

- School Leavers’ Survey – regular national survey of secondary school leavers, 18 mths – 2 yrs post-school
- Detailed information on background and post-school outcomes
- Pooled data – 24 surveys covering 1980-2007, over 55,000 individuals
Educational measures

- Educational level
  - Pre-lower secondary
  - Lower secondary
  - Upper secondary

- Grades in final exam: normalised within years (not available for early years)
Background variables

- Gender
- Parental social class (dominance measure)
- Fathers’ and mothers’ employment status
- Region
- Year (grouped variable)
Labour Market Outcomes

- Labour market entry
- Unemployment (among LM entrants)
- Occupational status (ISEI)
LM entry rates over time
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Full-time student one year after leaving school

% of students in different secondary education levels from 1980/82 to 2004/07:
- Pre Lower Secondary
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Graph shows an increase in the percentage of students in upper secondary education over time.
Unemployment rates over time
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Model: LM entry

- Males +
- Professional classes/farmers –
- Parental employment –
- Some regional variation
- Decline over time (non-linear)
- Different patterns for upper and lower secondary …
Model: Education level and LM entry (odds ratios v. pre-lower secondary)
Model: Education grades and LM entry (odds ratios v. average grades)
Model: Unemployment

- Males +
- Professional classes/farmers –
- Parental employment –
- Some regional variation
- Changes over time
Model: Education level and unemployment (odds ratios v. pre-lower secondary)
Model: Education grades and unemployment (odds ratios v. average grades)
Model: Occupational status

- Males:
  - Professional classes/farmers: +
  - Some regional variation; significant boost to being in the East
  - Decline over time (non-linear).
Model: Education level and ISEI (gap v. pre-lower secondary)
Model: Education grades and ISEI (gap v. average grades)
Conclusions

- ET-LM relationship is more complex than often allowed for (see Bills 2004)
- Which measure of educational outcomes?
- Which LM outcomes?
- Unemployment – growing gap by level but grades less important over time
- Occupational status – complex relationship with level but declining importance of grades
Possible next steps

- Examine other linear and non-linear measures of year
- Replace year with a (lagged) measure of adult unemployment
- Selection effects – different profile of LM entrants over time
- Take other changes (e.g. in educational system) into account – emergence of a new secondary ‘track’